

Introduction

Buses are the simplest and most widely used interconnection networks

A number of modules is connected via a single shared channel



Bus Properties

Serialization

Only one component can send a message at any given time

 \rightarrow There is a total order of messages



Bus Properties

Broadcast

A module can send a message to several other components without an extra cost



Bus Hardware

Principle for hardware to access the bus Bus Transmit: ET active Bus Receive: ER active



Cycles, Messages and Transactions

Buses operate in units of cycles, messages and transactions

- Cycles: A message requires a number of cycles to be sent from sender to receiver over the bus
- Message: Logical unit of information (a read message contains an address and control signals for read)
- Transaction: A transaction consists of a sequence of messages which together form a transaction (a memory read requires a memory read message and a reply with the requested data)

Synchronous Bus

Includes a clock in the control lines

- A fixed protocol for communication that is relative to the clock
- Advantage: involves very little logic and can run very fast
- Disadvantages:
 - Every device on the bus must run at the same clock rate
 - To avoid clock skew, they cannot be long if they are fast



Asinchronous Bus

- It is not clocked
- It can accommodate a wide range of devices
- It can be lengthened without worrying about clock skew
- It requires a handshaking protocol



- 1. Master puts address on bus and asserts READ when address is stable
- **2.** Memory puts data on bus and asserts ACK when data is stable
- **3.** Master deasserts READ when data is read
- 4. Memory deasserts ACK

9

Bus Arbitration

- Since only one bus master can use the bus at a given time bus arbitration is used
- An arbiter collects the requests of all bus masters and gives only one module the right to access the bus (bus grant)
- Arbiters are not only used in bussystem, but everywhere where several devices request shared resources



Arbiter Interfaces

This arbiter interface can be used to give a bus grant for a fixed number of cycles

→(a): 1 cycle
 →(b): 4 cycles





Arbiter Interfaces

- This arbiter allows for variable length grants
- The grant is hold as long as the "hold"-line is asserted
- In cycle 2 requester 0 gets the bus for 3 cycles
- In cycle 5 requester 1 gets the bus for 2 cycles
- In cycle 7 requester 1 gets the bus for one cycle



Fairness

- Fairness is a key property of an arbiter
- Some definitions
 - → Weak fairness: Every request is eventually served
 - Strong fairness: Requests will be served equally often
 - Weighted "strong" fairness: The number of times requester i is served is equal to its weight w_i
 - FIFO fairness: Requests are served in the order the requests have been made

Local Fairness vs. Global Fairness

Even if an arbiter is locally fair, a system with several arbiters employing that arbiter may not be fair



Though each arbiter A_i allocate 50% of their bandwidth to its two inputs, r₀ only gets 12.5% of the total bandwidth, while r₃ gets 50%

Fixed-Priority Arbiter

- A fixed-priority arbiter can be constructed as an iterative circuit
- Each cell receives a request input r_i and a carry input c_i and generates a grant output g_i and a carry output c_{i+1}
- The resulting arbiter is not fair, since a continuously asserted request r₀ means that none of the other requests will ever be served!





Variable-Priority Arbiters

- Oblivious Arbiter
- Round-Robin Arbiter
- Grant-Hold Circuit
- Weighted Round-Robin Arbiter

Fair Arbiters

- A fair arbiter can be generated by changing the priority from cycle to cycle
- Depending on the priority generation, different arbitration schemes and degrees of fairness can be achieved



Fair Arbiters

- Oblivious Arbiters
 - → If p_i is generated without knowledge of r_i and g_i , the result is an oblivious (unconscious) arbiter
 - Examples are
 - Randomly generated p_i
 - Rotating priorities (by shiftregister)





Oblivious Arbiters

Oblivious arbiters provide

- → weak fairness
- but not strong fairness (i.e. if r₀ and r₁ are constantly asserted, r0 will receive the grant 3 times and r1 only 1 time)





A round-robin arbiter achieves strong fairness

A request that was just served gets the lowest priority

One-bit round-robin arbiter



 $next_pi \le g_{i-1}OR (p_i AND NOT anyg)$



Any g is low



One *g* is high



Grant-Hold Circuit

Extends the duration of a grant

As long as hold is asserted further arbitration is disabled



Grant-Hold Circuit

An example for all $h_i=0$



Grant-Hold Circuit

An example for holding a grant



Weighted Round-Robin Arbiter

- A weighted round-robin arbiter allows to give requesters a larger number of grants than other requesters in a controlled fashion
- If three devices have the weight 1,2,3 they get 1/6, 1/3 and 1/2 of the grants
- The preset line is activated periodically after N (here 6 cycles) to load the counter with its weight
- If some arbiters do not issue any requests during that interval, the shared resource will remain idle until the next preset cycle



Weighted Round-Robin Arbiter



27

Matrix W=[w_{ij}] of weights
 W_{ij}=1 if request *i* takes priority over *j*



 $W_{ij}^* = ! g_j \cdot (g_j + W_{ij})$

 $W_{ij} = ! W_{ji}$

$$g_{0} = r_{0} \cdot ! (r_{1} \cdot W_{01} + r_{2} \cdot W_{02})$$

$$W^{*}_{01} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{01})$$

$$W^{*}_{02} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{02})$$

$$g_{1} = r_{1} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{10} + r_{2} \cdot W_{12})$$

$$W^{*}_{10} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{10})$$

$$W^{*}_{12} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{12})$$

$$g_{2} = r_{2} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{20} + r_{1} \cdot W_{21})$$

$$W^{*}_{20} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{20})$$

$$W^{*}_{21} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{21})$$

$$W_{01}=0, W_{02}=0, W_{10}=1, W_{12}=0, W_{20}=1, W_{21}=1$$

 $r_0=1, r_1=1, r_2=1$

$$g_{0} = r_{0} \cdot ! (r_{1} \cdot W_{01} + r_{2} \cdot W_{02}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{01} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{01}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{02} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{02}) = 1$$

$$g_{1} = r_{1} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{10} + r_{2} \cdot W_{12}) = 0$$

$$W_{10}^{*} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{10}) = 0$$

$$W_{12}^{*} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{12}) = 0$$

$$g_{2} = r_{2} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{20} + r_{1} \cdot W_{21}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{20} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{20}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{21} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{21}) = 1$$

$$W_{01}=1, W_{02}=1, W_{10}=0, W_{12}=0, W_{20}=0, W_{21}=1$$

 $r_0=1, r_1=1, r_2=1$

$$g_{0} = r_{0} \cdot ! (r_{1} \cdot W_{01} + r_{2} \cdot W_{02}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{01} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{01}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{02} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{02}) = 1$$

$$g_{1} = r_{1} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{10} + r_{2} \cdot W_{12}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{10} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{10}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{12} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{12}) = 1$$

$$g_{2} = r_{2} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{20} + r_{1} \cdot W_{21}) = 0$$

$$W_{20}^{*} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{20}) = 0$$

$$W_{21}^{*} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{21}) = 0$$

$$W_{01}=0, W_{02}=1, W_{10}=1, W_{12}=1, W_{20}=0, W_{21}=0$$

r₀=1, r₁=1, r₂=1

$$g_{0} = r_{0} \cdot ! (r_{1} \cdot W_{01} + r_{2} \cdot W_{02}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{01} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{01}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{02} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{0} + W_{02}) = 0$$

$$g_{1} = r_{1} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{10} + r_{2} \cdot W_{12}) = 0$$

$$W^{*}_{10} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{10}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{12} = ! g_{2} \cdot (g_{1} + W_{12}) = 0$$

$$g_{2} = r_{2} \cdot ! (r_{0} \cdot W_{20} + r_{1} \cdot W_{21}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{20} = ! g_{0} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{20}) = 1$$

$$W^{*}_{21} = ! g_{1} \cdot (g_{2} + W_{21}) = 1$$



$$W_{ij} = ! W_{ji} \forall i \neq j$$

- A requester will be granted the resource if no other higher priority requester is bidding for the same resource
- Once a requester succeeds in being granted a resource, its priority is updated and set to be the lowest among all requesters
- Request *i* granted
 →[i,*] ← 0
 →[*,i] ← 1

- A matrix arbiter implements a *least* recently served priority scheme by maintaining a triangular array of state bits w_{ij} for all i < j</p>
- The Matrix arbiter is very good suited for a small number of inputs, since it is fast, easy to implement and provides strong fairness!
- Matrix must be initialized to a legal state



Queuing Arbiter

- A queuing arbiter provides FIFO fairness
- It assigns each request a time stamp when it is asserted
- The request with the earliest time stamp receives the grant



Low Performance Bus Protocol

- Without a special bus protocol the bus is not efficiently used
- In the example module 2 requests the bus in cycle 2, but must wait until cycle 6 to receive the grant



Bus Pipelining

- A memory access consists of several cycles (including arbitration)
- Since the bus is not used in all cycles, pipelining can be used to increase the performance



Write Access



- Receive the grant during a given cycle
- \rightarrow Use the bus during a given cycle

Read Access

Bus Pipelining

Pipelining leads to an efficient use of the bus

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
1. Read	AR	ARB	AG	RQ	Р	RPLY									
2. Write		AR	ARB	AG	Stall	Stall	RQ	ACK							
3. Write			AR	ARB	Stall	Stall	AG	Stall	RQ	ACK					
4. Read				AR	Stall	Stall	ARB	Stall	AG	Stall	RQ	Ρ	RPLY		
5. Read							AR	Stall	ARB	Stall	AG	RQ	Р	RPLY	
6. Read									AR	Stall	ARB	AG	Stall	Stall	RQ
Bus busy															

Stalls are inserted since only one instance can use the bus

- Sometimes (cycle 12) two transactions can overlap
- However this cannot be done in cycle 5 (2. Write) since otherwise RPLY and ACK would overlap in cycle 6!

Split-Transaction Bus

- In a split-transaction bus a transaction is splitted into a two transactions
 - request-transaction
 - reply-transaction
- Both transactions have to compete for the bus by arbitration

Split-Transaction Bus



Split-Transaction Bus

The advantages of the split-transaction bus are evident, if there is a variable delay for requests, since then transactions cannot overlap



Burst Messages

There is a considerable amount of overhead in a bus transaction

- Arbitration
- Addressing
- Acknowledgement



Efficiency = Transmitted Words / Message Size = 1/3

Burst Messages

The overhead can be reduced, if messages are sent as blocks (bursts)



Burst Messages

The longer the burst, the better the efficiency
BUT

Other bus masters have to wait, which may be unacceptable in many systems (Real-Time)

- Possible solution
 - Maximum length for a burst
 - Interrupt of long messages
 - Restart or Resume

